09/308.725 Interview Summary

Application No. Applicant(s) LALVANI ET AL. Examiner Art Unit 1648 Stacy B. Chen

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Stacv B. Chen (PTO).

(3)Lisa Kole (Appl. Rep.).

(2) Ling Zhong (Appl. Rep.).

(4)Ron Cox (Appl. Rep.).

Date of Interview: 02 February 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: __

e) No.

Claim(s) discussed: 40-63.

Identification of prior art discussed: Surcel, Hagiwara.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representatives and the examiner discussed the inventive concept and possible claim amendments to overcome the rejection of record. Applicant will file an RCE in response to the final rejection. The examiner will contact Ling Zhong prior to the next Office action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Stacy B Chen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1648